arXiv:1212.0405v1 [math.PR] 3 Dec 2012

Harnack Inequalities for Stochastic Equations Driven by Lévy Noise[*]

Feng-Yu Wang$^{a),c)}$ and Jian Wang$^{b)}$

$^{a)}$School of Mathematical Sciences, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China

$^{b)}$School of Mathematics and Computer Science, Fujian Normal University, Fuzhou 350007, China

$^{c)}$Department of Mathematics, Swansea University, Singleton Park, SA2 8PP, United Kingdom

wangfy@bnu.edu.cn, F.-Y.Wang@swansea.ac.uk, jianwang@fjnu.edu.cn

December 4, 2012

Abstract

By using coupling argument and regularization approximations of the underlying subordinator, dimension-free Harnack inequalities are established for a class of stochastic equations driven by a Lévy noise containing a subordinated Brownian motion. The Harnack inequalities are new even for linear equations driven by Lévy noise, and the gradient estimate implied by our log-Harnack inequality considerably generalizes some recent results on gradient estimates and coupling properties derived for Lévy processes or linear equations driven by Lévy noise. The main results are also extended to semi-linear stochastic equations in Hilbert spaces.

AMS subject Classification: 60H10, 60H15, 60J75.
Keywords: Harnack inequality, coupling, Lévy process, subordinator.

1 Introduction

The dimension-free Harnack inequality with powers introduced in [22] and the log-Harnack inequality introduced in [17, 25] have been intensively investigated and applied for stochastic (partial) differential equations driven by Gaussian noises, see e.g. [3, 23, 13, 4, 7, 8, 12, 24, 34, 9, 14, 29, 30, 10, 15, 31, 32, 33] and references within. Due to technical difficulty on construction of couplings for jump processes, the study for stochastic equations driven by purely jump Lévy noise is however very limited. The only known results on these type of

---

[*] Supported in part by Lab. Math. Com. Sys., NNSFC(11131003, 11126350 and 11201073), SRFDP, the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, and the Program of Excellent Young Talents and for New Century Excellent Talents in Universities of Fujian (No. JA10058, JA11051 and JA12053).

Harnack inequalities are presented in [28, 35] for linear stochastic differential equations (i.e. O-U processes) driven by purely jump Lévy processes, where [28] uses coupling through the Mecke formula and [35] adopts known heat kernel bounds of the α-stable processes. Recently, using regularization approximations of the time-change, Zhang established in [37] the Bismut formula for stochastic differential equations with Lipschitz continuous drifts driven by the α-stable process. In this paper, we will make use of Zhang's argument together with a coupling method to derive Harnack inequalities for stochastic equations driven by Lévy noise.

Let $W := (W_t)_{t\geq 0}, S := (S(t))_{t\geq 0}$ and $V := (V_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be independent stochastic processes, where W is the Brownian motion on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $W_0 = 0$; V is a locally bounded measurable process on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $V_0 = 0$; and S is the subordinator induced by a Bernstein function B, i.e. S is a one-dimensional non-negative increasing Lévy process with $S(0) = 0$ and

$$\mathbb{E}\mathrm{e}^{-rS(t)} = \mathrm{e}^{-tB(r)}, \quad t, r \geq 0.$$

Then $(W_{S(t)})_{t\geq 0}$ is a Lévy process with symbol $\psi := B(|\cdot|^2)$ (see e.g. [11], or $-B(|\cdot|^2)$ in [2]).

We consider the following stochastic equation on $\mathbb{R}^d$:

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t b_s(X_s)\mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \sigma_s \mathrm{d}W_{S(s)} + V_t, \quad t \geq 0, \tag{1.1}$$

where $\sigma : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d$ is measurable and locally bounded, and $b : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ is measurable, locally bounded and continuous in the second variable.

If the condition **(H2)** below holds, then for any $X_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the equation (1.1) has a unique solution $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$. To see this, let

$$Z_t = \int_0^t \sigma_s \mathrm{d}W_{S(s)} + V_t, \quad t \geq 0$$

which is locally bounded, and denote $\tilde{X}_t = X_t - Z_t$. Then (1.1) is equivalent to the ODE

$$\mathrm{d}\tilde{X}_t = b_t(\tilde{X}_t + Z_t)\mathrm{d}t, \quad \tilde{X}_0 = X_0.$$

According to e.g. [21, Theorem 177], **(H2)** implies that this equation has a unique solution up to the life time $\zeta := \lim_{n\to\infty} \inf\{t \geq 0 : |\tilde{X}_t| \geq n\}$. Since it is easy to see that **(H2)** also implies $\zeta = \infty$, we conclude that this equation, and hence also (1.1), has a unique solution for all $t \geq 0$.

Comparing with the O-U type equations studied in [28, 35], our equation is time inhomogenous with a more general drift. Moreover, to compare the Lévy term in (1.1) with those in [28, 35] under a lower bound condition of the Lévy measure, we may replace $W_{S(t)}$ in (1.1) by a Lévy process L_t with Lévy measure

$$\nu(\mathrm{d}x) \geq c|x|^{-d}B(|x|^{-2})\mathrm{d}x$$

for some constant $c > 0$. In fact, in this case we may split L_t into two independent Lévy parts, where one of them has Lévy measure $c|x|^{-d}B(|x|^{-2})\mathrm{d}x$ and is thus a subordinated Brownian motion (cf. [26, 6]), and the integral of σ w.r.t. the other can be combined with the term V_t.

Now, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, let $(X_t(x))_{t\geq 0}$ be the unique solution to (1.1) for $X_0 = x$. We aim to establish Harnack inequalities for the associated Markov operator P_t on $\mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$:

$$P_t f(x) := \mathbb{E} f(X_t(x)), \quad t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d, f \in \mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Let $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|_{HS}$ denote the operator norm and the Hilbert-Schmidt norm, respectively. To establish Harnack inequalities for P_t, we shall need the following conditions on σ and b:

(H1) σ_t^{-1} exists and is locally bounded, i.e. there exists an increasing function λ on $[0,\infty)$ such that $\|\sigma_t^{-1}\| \leq \lambda_t$ for $t \geq 0$.

(H2) There exists a locally bounded measurable function K on $[0,\infty)$ such that

$$\langle b_t(x) - b_t(y), x - y\rangle \leq K_t |x-y|^2, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, t \geq 0. \tag{1.2}$$

Theorem 1.1. *Assume* **(H1)** *and* **(H2)***, and let* $K(t) = \sup_{s\in[0,t]} \int_0^s K_u \mathrm{d}u$ *for* $t \geq 0$.

(1) *For any* $T > 0$ *and strictly positive* $f \in \mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$P_T \log f(y) \leq \log P_T f(x) + \frac{|x-y|^2}{2} \inf_{t\in(0,T]} \Big\{\lambda_t^2 \mathrm{e}^{2K(t)} \mathbb{E}\frac{1}{S(t)}\Big\}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

(2) *For any* $T > 0$ *and* $f \in \mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$|\nabla P_T f|^2 \leq \{P_T f^2 - (P_T f)^2\} \inf_{t\in[0,T]} \Big\{\lambda_t^2 \mathrm{e}^{2K(t)} \mathbb{E}\frac{1}{S(t)}\Big\}.$$

(3) *For any* $T > 0, p > 1$ *and positive* $f \in \mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$(P_T f(y))^p \leq (P_T f^p(x)) \inf_{t\in(0,T]} \mathbb{E} \exp\left[\frac{p\lambda_t^2 e^{2K(t)} |x-y|^2}{2(p-1)S(t)}\right], \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Theorem 1.1 (1) and (3) are new even for linear stochastic equations driven by Lévy processes, for which the Harnack inequality has been investigated in [28] using the Mecke formula. Since in [28] the density of the Lévy measure was used, the derived inequalities can not be extended to infinite-dimensions as we did in Section 3 for our present Harnack inequalities. Moreover, the gradient inequality in Theorem 1.1(2) generalizes the main results in [26, 6, 20] for Lévy processes or linear equations driven by Lévy noise. When $K \leq 0$ and λ is bounded, we obtain from Theorem 1.1(2) that

$$|P_T f(x) - P_T f(y)| \leq \|\lambda\|_\infty \|f\|_\infty |x-y| \sqrt{\mathbb{E}\frac{1}{S(T)}}, \quad f \in \mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d), f \geq 0.$$

This implies the coupling property provided $\mathbb{E}\frac{1}{S(T)} \to 0$ as $T \to \infty$; i.e.

$$\lim_{T\to\infty} \int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-TB(r)} \mathrm{d}r = 0.$$

Thus, the main results in [27, 6, 18, 19] on the coupling property of Lévy processes or linear equations driven by Lévy noise are generalized, see also [36] for the recent study of the coupling property of Lévy processes with drift. If furthermore $K \le -\theta$ for some constant $\theta > 0$, we have $|X_t(x) - X_t(y)| \le \mathrm{e}^{-\theta t}|x-y|$, which together with Theorem 1.1(2), implies the exponential convergence of ∇P_T: there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that (see the proof of [26, Theorem 1.1] for details)

$$|\nabla P_T f|^2 \le C\mathrm{e}^{-2\theta T}\{P_T f^2 - (P_T f)^2\}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, T \ge 1, f \in \mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

For applications of the Harnack inequalities presented in Theorem 1.1, we refer to [28] and references within. The following are direct consequences of Theorem 1.1.

Corollary 1.2. *In the situation of Theorem* 1.1 *and let $B(r) \ge cr^\theta$ hold for any $r \ge r_0$ and some constants $\theta \in (0,1)$ and $c, r_0 > 0$ (Note that $W_{S(s)}$ is the α-stable process for $\alpha = 2\theta$ if $B(r) = r^\theta$).*

(1) *There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $T > 0$ and strictly positive $f \in \mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$,*

$$P_T \log f(y) \le \log P_T f(x) + \frac{C|x-y|^2}{2} \inf_{t\in(0,T]} \frac{\lambda_t^2 \exp[2K(t) + cr_0^\theta t]}{t^{\frac{1}{\theta}}}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

(2) *For any $T > 0$ and $f \in \mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$,*

$$|\nabla P_T f|^2 \le \{P_T f^2 - (P_T f)^2\} \inf_{t\in[0,T]} \frac{\lambda_t^2 \exp[2K(t) + cr_0^\theta t]}{t^{\frac{1}{\theta}}}.$$

(3) *If $\theta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $T > 0, p > 1$ and positive $f \in \mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$,*

$$(P_T f(y))^p \le 2(P_T f^p(x))\left\{C\left[\frac{p|x-y|^2}{(p-1)}\left(\inf_{t\in[0,T]} \frac{\lambda_t^2 \exp[2K(t) + cr_0^\theta t]}{t^{\frac{1}{\theta}}}\right)\right]^{\frac{\theta}{2\theta-1}}\right\}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

These two results will be proved in Section 2, and extended to semi-linear stochastic partial differential equations in Section 3.

2 Proofs

We first explain the main idea of the proof. Firstly, as in [37] we consider the following regularization of S:

$$S_\varepsilon(t) := \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_t^{t+\varepsilon} S(s)\mathrm{d}s + \varepsilon t, \quad t \ge 0, \varepsilon > 0.$$

Then S_ε is absolutely continuous and $S_\varepsilon \downarrow S$ as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$. For each $\varepsilon > 0$, we consider the approximation equation

$$X_t^\varepsilon = X_0 + \int_0^t b_s(X_s^\varepsilon)\mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \sigma_s \mathrm{d}W_{S_\varepsilon(s)} + V_t, \quad t \ge 0. \tag{2.1}$$

Since S_ε is absolutely continuous, this equation is indeed driven by the Brownian motion so that we are able to establish the Harnack inequalities for the associated operator P_t^ε by using coupling. Finally, by proving $P_t^\varepsilon \to P_t$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$, we derive the corresponding Harnack inequalities for P_t.

2.1 The case of absolutely continuous time-change

Let ℓ be an absolutely continuous and strictly increasing function on $[0,\infty)$ with $\ell(0)=0$, and let $v:[0,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}^d$ be measurable and locally bounded with $v_0=0$. We consider the equation

$$X_t^{\ell,v}=X_0+\int_0^t b_s(X_s^{\ell,v})\mathrm{d}s+\int_0^t\sigma_s\mathrm{d}W_{\ell(s)}+v_t,\quad t\ge 0. \tag{2.2}$$

Under our general assumptions, this equation has a unique solution. Let $P_t^{\ell,v}$ be the associated Markov operator; i.e.

$$P_t^{\ell,v}f(x)=\mathbb{E}f(X_t^{\ell,v}(x)),\quad x\in\mathbb{R}^d,t\ge 0,f\in\mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

where $X_t^{\ell,v}(x)$ is the solution to (2.2) for $X_0=x$.

Now, for fixed $T>0$ and $x,y\in\mathbb{R}^d$, we intend to construct a coupling to derive the Harnack inequalities of $P_T^{\ell,v}$. To this end, let $(Y_t)_{t\ge0}$ solve the equation

$$Y_t=y+\int_0^t b_s(Y_s)\mathrm{d}s+\int_0^t\sigma_s\mathrm{d}W_{\ell(s)}+v_t+\int_0^t\left\{\xi_s1_{[0,\tau)}(s)\right\}\cdot\frac{X_s^{\ell,v}-Y_s}{|X_s^{\ell,v}-Y_s|}\mathrm{d}\ell(s), \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$\xi_t:=\frac{|x-y|\exp[-\int_0^t K_s\mathrm{d}s]}{\int_0^T\exp[-2\int_0^t K_s\mathrm{d}s]\mathrm{d}\ell(t)},\quad t\ge 0$$

and

$$\tau:=\inf\{t\ge 0: X_t^{\ell,v}=Y_t\}.$$

To construct a solution to (2.3), we consider the equation

$$\tilde{Y}_t=y+\int_0^t b_s(\tilde{Y}_s)\mathrm{d}s+\int_0^t\sigma_s\mathrm{d}W_{\ell(s)}+v_t+\int_0^t\left\{\xi_s1_{\{X_s^{\ell,v}\ne\tilde{Y}_s\}}\right\}\cdot\frac{X_s^{\ell,v}-\tilde{Y}_s}{|X_s^{\ell,v}-\tilde{Y}_s|}\mathrm{d}\ell(s). \tag{2.4}$$

Since $(z,z')\mapsto\frac{z-z'}{|z-z'|}$ is locally Lipschitz continuous on the domain $\{(z,z')\in\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d: z\ne z'\}$, the joint equation of (2.2) and (2.4) has a unique solution up to the coupling time

$$\tilde{\tau}:=\inf\{t\ge 0: X_t^{\ell,v}=\tilde{Y}_t\}.$$

Let

$$Y_t=\begin{cases}\tilde{Y}_t, & t\in[0,\tilde{\tau}),\\ X_t^{\ell,v}, & t\ge\tilde{\tau}.\end{cases}$$

Then $(Y_t)_{t\ge0}$ is a solution to (2.3) with $\tau=\tilde{\tau}$.

Lemma 2.1. *For the above constructed coupling $(X_t^{\ell,v},Y_t)_{t\ge0}$, there holds $\tau\le T$, i.e. $X_T^{\ell,v}=Y_T$.*

Proof. By (2.2) and (2.3) we have

$$\mathrm{d}(X_t^{\ell,v} - Y_t) = (b_t(X_t^{\ell,v}) - b_t(Y_t))\mathrm{d}t - \xi_t \cdot \frac{X_t^{\ell,v} - Y_t}{|X_t^{\ell,v} - Y_t|}\mathrm{d}\ell(t), \quad t < \tau.$$

Then **(H2)** and the absolutely continuity of ℓ yield

$$\mathrm{d}|X_t^{\ell,v} - Y_t|^2 \le 2K_t|X_t^{\ell,v} - Y_t|^2\mathrm{d}t - 2\xi_t|X_t^{\ell,v} - Y_t|\mathrm{d}\ell(t), \quad t < \tau.$$

Thus,

$$\mathrm{d}\big\{|X_t^{\ell,v} - Y_t|\mathrm{e}^{-\int_0^t K_s\mathrm{d}s}\big\} \le -\xi_t\mathrm{e}^{-\int_0^t K_s\mathrm{d}s}\mathrm{d}\ell(t), \ t < \tau.$$

Therefore, if $\tau > T$ then

$$0 < |X_T^{\ell,v} - Y_T|\mathrm{e}^{-\int_0^T K_s\mathrm{d}s} \le |x-y| - \int_0^T \xi_t\mathrm{e}^{-\int_0^t K_s\mathrm{d}s}\mathrm{d}t = 0,$$

which is a contraction. □

Now, to derive the Harnack inequality, we let

$$\tilde{W}_t = W_t + \int_0^{\ell(t\wedge\tau)} \frac{\xi_{\ell^{-1}(s)}}{|X_{\ell^{-1}(s)}^{\ell,v} - Y_{\ell^{-1}(s)}|}\sigma_{\ell^{-1}(s)}^{-1}(X_{\ell^{-1}(s)}^{\ell,v} - Y_{\ell^{-1}(s)})\mathrm{d}s, \quad t \ge 0.$$

By the Girsanov theorem, $(\tilde{W}_t)_{t\ge 0}$ is the d-dimensional Brownian motion under the probability $\mathrm{d}\mathbb{Q} := R\mathrm{d}\mathbb{P}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} R &:= \exp\bigg[-\int_0^{\ell(\tau)}\langle \eta_t, \mathrm{d}W_t\rangle - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^{\ell(\tau)}|\eta_t|^2\mathrm{d}t\bigg], \\ \eta_t &:= \frac{\xi_{\ell^{-1}(t)}}{|X_{\ell^{-1}(t)}^{\ell,v} - Y_{\ell^{-1}(t)}|}\sigma_{\ell^{-1}(t)}^{-1}(X_{\ell^{-1}(t)}^{\ell,v} - Y_{\ell^{-1}(t)}), \quad t \in [0,\tau). \end{aligned}$$

Reformulating (2.3) by

$$Y_t = y + \int_0^t b_s(Y_s)\mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \sigma_s\mathrm{d}\tilde{W}_{\ell(s)} + v_t, \quad t \ge 0,$$

we conclude from the definition of $P_t^{\ell,v}$ and Lemma 2.1 that

$$P_T^{\ell,v}f(y) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}f(Y_T) = \mathbb{E}[Rf(Y_T)] = \mathbb{E}[Rf(X_T^{\ell,v})]. \tag{2.5}$$

It is now more or less standard that this formula implies the following result.

Proposition 2.2. *For any strictly positive $f \in \mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$,*

$$P_T^{\ell,v}\log f(y) \le \log P_T^{\ell,v}f(x) + \inf_{t\in(0,T]}\frac{\lambda_t^2\exp[2K(t)]|x-y|^2}{2\ell(t)}, \quad x,y \in \mathbb{R}^d, \tag{2.6}$$

and for any $p > 1$,

$$(P_T^{\ell,v}f)^p(y) \le (P_T^{\ell,v}f^p(x))\inf_{t\in(0,T]}\exp\bigg[\frac{p\lambda_t^2\exp[2K(t)]|x-y|^2}{2(p-1)\ell(t)}\bigg], \quad x,y \in \mathbb{R}^d. \tag{2.7}$$

Proof. (1) By (2.5) and the Young inequality, we obtain

$$P_T^{\ell,v}\log f(y) = \mathbb{E}[R\log f(X_T^{\ell,v})] \le \log P_T^{\ell,v} f(x) + \mathbb{E}[R\log R].$$

By the definitions of R, η_t, ξ_t and noting that $\tau \le T$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[R\log R] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}\log R &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}\bigg\{-\int_0^{\ell(\tau)}\langle\eta_t, \mathrm{d}\tilde{W}_t\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^{\ell(\tau)}|\eta_t|^2\mathrm{d}t\bigg\}\\ &= \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\int_0^{\ell(\tau)}|\eta_t|^2\mathrm{d}t \le \frac{\lambda_T^2}{2}\int_0^{\ell(T)}\xi_{\ell^{-1}(t)}^2\mathrm{d}t = \frac{\lambda_T^2}{2}\int_0^T \xi_t^2\mathrm{d}\ell(t)\\ &= \frac{\lambda_T^2|x-y|^2}{2\int_0^T\exp[-2\int_0^t K_s\mathrm{d}s]\mathrm{d}\ell(t)} \le \frac{\lambda_T^2\exp[2K(T)]|x-y|^2}{2\ell(T)}.\end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$P_T^{\ell,v}\log f(y) \le \log P_T^{\ell,v}f(x) + \frac{\lambda_T^2\exp[2K(T)]|x-y|^2}{2\ell(T)}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Now, for any $t \in (0,T]$, let $P_{t,T}^{\ell,v}f(x) = \mathbb{E}(f(X_T^{\ell,v})|X_t^{\ell,v} = x)$. By the Markov property we have $P_T^{\ell,v} = P_t^{\ell,v}P_{t,T}^{\ell,v}$. So, applying the above inequality to t and $P_{t,T}^{\ell,v}f$ in place of T and f respectively, and noting that by the Jensen inequality

$$P_t^{\ell,v}\log P_{t,T}^{\ell,v}f \ge P_t^{\ell,v}P_{t,T}^{\ell,v}\log f = P_T^{\ell,v}\log f,$$

we prove (2.6).

(2) By (2.5) and the Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$(P_T^{\ell,v}f(y))^p = (\mathbb{E}[Rf(X_T^{\ell,v})])^p \le (P_T^{\ell,v}f^p(x))(\mathbb{E}R^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^{p-1}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}R^{\frac{p}{p-1}} &= \mathbb{E}\bigg(\exp\bigg[-\frac{p}{p-1}\int_0^{\ell(\tau)}\langle\eta_t, \mathrm{d}W_t\rangle - \frac{p}{2(p-1)}\int_0^{\ell(\tau)}|\eta_t|^2\mathrm{d}t\bigg]\bigg)\\ &= \mathbb{E}\bigg(\exp\bigg[-\frac{p}{p-1}\int_0^{\ell(\tau)}\langle\eta_t, \mathrm{d}W_t\rangle + \frac{p^2}{(p-1)^2}\int_0^{\ell(\tau)}|\eta_t|^2\mathrm{d}t\bigg]\\ &\qquad\times\exp\bigg[\frac{p}{2(p-1)^2}\int_0^{\ell(\tau)}|\eta_t|^2\mathrm{d}t\bigg]\bigg)\\ &\le \exp\bigg[\frac{p\lambda_T^2}{2(p-1)^2}\int_0^{\ell(T)}\xi_{\ell^{-1}(t)}^2\mathrm{d}t\bigg] \le \exp\bigg[\frac{p\lambda_T^2\exp[2K(T)]|x-y|^2}{2(p-1)^2\ell(T)}\bigg],\end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$(P_T^{\ell,v}f)^p(y) \le (P_T^{\ell,v}f^p(x))\exp\bigg[\frac{p\lambda_t^2\exp[2K(T)]|x-y|^2}{2(p-1)\ell(T)}\bigg], \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

As explained above that in the exponential term we may replace T by any $t \in (0,T]$, so that (2.7) holds. □

2.2 Proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2

To prove Theorem 1.1 using Proposition 2.2, we need the following lemma to ensure that $X_t^{(n)} \to X_t$ as $n \to \infty$, where $X^{(n)}$ solves (2.1) for $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{n}$.

Lemma 2.3. *Assume that*

- (i) *σ is piecewise constant: there exists a sequence $\{t_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ with $t_0 = 0$ and $t_n \uparrow \infty$ such that $\sigma_\cdot = \sum_{i=1}^\infty 1_{[t_{i-1},t_i)}\sigma_{t_{i-1}}$;*
- (ii) *b is global Lipschitzian: for any $T > 0$ there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$|b_t(x) - b_t(y)| \leq C|x-y|, \quad t \in [0,T], x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Then $\lim_{n\to\infty} X_t^{(n)} = X_t$ holds for all $t > 0$.

Proof. Let $T > 0$ be fixed. By (i) and (ii), for any $t \in [0,T]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |X_t^{(n)} - X_t| \leq & C\int_0^t |X_s^{(n)} - X_s|\mathrm{d}s \\ & + 2\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|\sigma_t\|\Big(\sum_{t_i<t} |W_{S_{1/n}(t_i)} - W_{S(t_i)}| + |W_{S_{1/n}(t)} - W_{S(t)}|\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Moreover, it is easy to see that (ii) and the locally boundedness of $b, \sigma_\cdot, V_\cdot$ imply

$$\sup_{n\geq 1} |X_t^{(n)}| < \infty. \tag{2.9}$$

So,

$$\phi_t := \limsup_{n\to\infty} |X_t^{(n)} - X_t| < \infty, \quad t \in [0,T].$$

Combining (2.9) with (2.8) and using the Fatou lemma and the fact that $S_{1/n} \downarrow S$ as $n \uparrow \infty$, we arrive at

$$\phi_t \leq C\int_0^t \phi_s \mathrm{d}s, \quad t \in [0,T].$$

Therefore, $\phi_t = 0$ for $t \in [0,T]$ and the proof is thus finished. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. According to [5, Proposition 2.3], (2) follows from (1). So, we only prove (1) and (3). To apply Lemma 2.3, we shall make approximations of b and σ. Since $C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is dense in $L^1(P_T(x,\cdot) + P_T(y,\cdot))$, where $P_T(z, \mathrm{d}z')$ is the transition probability for P_T, we only consider strictly positive $f \in C_b(R^d)$.

(a) We first assume that (i) and (ii) in Lemma 2.3 hold. By applying Proposition 2.2 to $P_T^{S_{1/n},V}$ and noting that Lemma 2.3 implies

$$P_T f = \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}P_T^{S_{1/n},V} f, \quad f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

we obtain the desired inequalities.

(b) Assume that (ii) in Lemma 2.3 holds. Since for a fixed sample of S, the class of piecewise constant functions on $[0,\infty)$ is dense in $L^2_{loc}(\mathrm{d}S)$, we may find out a sequence of $\mathbb{R}^d\otimes\mathbb{R}^d$-valued functions $\{\sigma^{(n)}\}_{n\geq 1}$ satisfying (i) in Lemma 2.3 such that $\sigma^{(n)}\to\sigma$ in $L^2([0,T];\mathrm{d}S)$ and $\|(\sigma^{(n)}_t)^{-1}\|\leq\lambda_T$ for $t\in[0,T]$. Let $\tilde X^{(n)}_t$ solve (1.1) for $\sigma^{(n)}$ in place of σ, and let $\tilde P^{(n)}_t$ be the associated Markov operator. According to (a) the assertions in Theorem 1.1 hold for $\tilde P^{(n)}_T$ in place of P_T. By (ii) we have

$$|X_t-\tilde X^{(n)}_t|\leq C\int_0^t|X_s-\tilde X^{(n)}_s|\mathrm{d}s+\bigg|\int_0^t(\sigma^{(n)}_s-\sigma_s)\mathrm{d}W_{S(s)}\bigg|,\quad t\in[0,T]. \tag{2.10}$$

Since $\sigma^{(n)}\to\sigma$ in $L^2([0,T];\mathrm{d}S)$, we have (see e.g. [21, Theorem 88(v) on page 53])

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{E}^S\bigg|\int_0^t(\sigma^{(n)}_s-\sigma_s)\mathrm{d}W_{S(s)}\bigg|^2=\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_0^t\|\sigma^{(n)}_s-\sigma_s\|^2_{HS}\mathrm{d}S(s)=0,$$

where $\mathbb{E}^S$ is the conditional expectation given S. Then, as in the proof of Lemma 2.3, by letting $n\to\infty$ in (2.10) we obtain

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{E}^S|\tilde X^{(n)}_T-X_T|=0,$$

so that

$$P_Tf=\mathbb{E}P^{S,V}_Tf=\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{E}\big(\mathbb{E}^{S,V}f(\tilde X^{(n)}_T)\big)=\lim_{n\to\infty}\tilde P^{(n)}_Tf.$$

Therefore, Theorem 1.1 also holds for P_T.

(c) In general, for any $n\geq 1$ let

$$\psi_n(x)=\big((x_1\vee(-n))\wedge n,\cdots,(x_d\vee(-n)\wedge n)\big),\quad x\in\mathbb{R}^d.$$

Let $\tilde b_t(x)=b_t(x)-K_tx$. Then (1.2) is equivalent to that $\tilde b_t(x)$ is decreasing in each x_i. Let

$$b^{(n)}_t(x)=K_tx+\tilde b_t\circ\psi_n(x),\quad x\in\mathbb{R}^d,t\geq 0.$$

Then $b^{(n)}_t$ satisfies (ii). Moreover, since $\tilde b_t\circ\psi_n(x)$ is decreasing in each x_i, (1.2) holds for $b^{(n)}_t$ in place of b_t.

Now, let $\bar X^{(n)}_t$ solve (1.1) for $b^{(n)}$ in place of b. Then, according to (b), the associated Markov operator $\bar P^{(n)}_t$ satisfies the claimed inequalities in Theorem 1.1. Since $b_t=b^{(n)}_t$ on the ball $\{x:|x|\leq n\}$, we have $\bar X^{(n)}_t=X_t$ for $t\leq\tau_n:=\inf\{t\geq 0:|X_t|\geq n\}$. Thus, for any $f\in\mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}|\bar P^{(n)}_Tf-P_Tf|\leq\|f\|_\infty\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{P}(T>\tau_n)=0.$$

Therefore, applying Theorem 1.1 to $\bar P^{(n)}_T$ and letting $n\to\infty$, we complete the proof. □

Proof of Corollary 1.2. Note that for any $k\geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\frac{1}{S(t)^k}&=\frac{1}{\Gamma(k)}\int_0^\infty r^{k-1}\mathrm{e}^{-tB(r)}\mathrm{d}r\leq\frac{\exp[cr_0^\theta t]}{\Gamma(k)}\int_0^\infty r^{k-1}\exp[-cr^\theta t]\mathrm{d}r\\&=\frac{\exp[cr_0^\theta t]}{\Gamma(k)c^{\frac{1}{\theta}}}\int_0^\infty r^{k-1}\mathrm{e}^{-tr^\theta}\mathrm{d}r=\frac{\exp[cr_0^\theta t]}{c^{\frac{1}{\theta}}}\mathbb{E}\frac{1}{\tilde S(t)^k},\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{S}$ is the subordinator associated to the Bernstein function $r \mapsto r^\theta$. Therefore, (1) and (2) follow from Theorem 1.1(1)-(2) and [9, (2.2)]. To prove (3), we make use of the last display in the proof of [9, Theorem 1.1] for $\kappa = 1$, i.e.

$$\mathbb{E}e^{\delta/\tilde{S}(t)} \leq 2\exp\left[(2-\theta^{-1})\Big(\frac{cH(x,y)}{(p-1)t^{1/\theta}}\Big)^{1/(2-\theta^{-1})}\right],$$

where $\delta := \frac{H(x,y)}{p-1}$. Taking

$$H(x,y) = \frac{p}{2}\lambda_t^2 e^{2K(t)}|x-y|^2,$$

we prove (2) from Theorem 1.1(2). □

3 Extension to sem-linear SPDEs

Let $(H, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle, |\cdot|)$ be a separable Hilbert space, $V := (V_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a locally bounded measurable stochastic process on H, $W = (W_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a cylindrical Brownian motion on H, and $S = (S_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a one-dimensional non-negative increasing Lévy process associated to a Bernstein function B as introduced in Section 1. Recall that W can be formally formulated as

$$W_t = \sum_{i=1}^\infty B_t^i e_i, \tag{3.1}$$

where $\{B^i\}_{i\geq 1}$ is a family of independent one-dimensional Brownian motions, and $\{e_i\}_{i\geq 1}$ is an orthonormal basis of H. Thus, for any orthonormal family $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$, the process $(\langle W, e_1\rangle, \cdots, \langle W, e_n\rangle)$ is a Brownian motion on $\mathbb{R}^n$. As in the finite-dimensional case, we assume that W, S and V are independent. Let $\mathscr{L}(H)$ be the set of all bounded linear operators on H.

Consider the following stochastic equation on H:

$$X_t = e^{At}X_0 + \int_0^t e^{A(t-s)}F_s(X_s)ds + \int_0^t e^{A(t-s)}\sigma_s dW_{S(s)} + V_t, \quad t \geq 0, \tag{3.2}$$

where

(A1) $(A, \mathscr{D}(A))$ is a negatively definite self-adjoint operator on H such that

$$\int_0^t \|e^{sA}\|_{HS}^2 ds < \infty, \quad t > 0; \tag{3.3}$$

(A2) $F : [0,\infty) \times H \to H$ is measurable, bounded on bounded sets and satisfies

$$|F_s(x) - F_s(y)| \leq K_s|x-y|, \quad x, y \in H, s \geq 0 \tag{3.4}$$

holds for some locally bounded measurable function K on $[0,\infty)$;

(A3) $\sigma : [0,\infty) \to \mathscr{L}(H)$ is measurable and locally bounded such that σ_t is invertible for $t \geq 0$ and $\|\sigma_t^{-1}\| \leq \lambda_t$ holds for some increasing function λ on $[0,\infty)$.

Note that if $V_t = \int_0^t \mathrm{e}^{(t-s)A}\tilde{\sigma}_s \mathrm{d}L_s$ holds for some $\tilde{\sigma} : [0,\infty) \to \mathscr{L}(H)$ and some noise L, (3.2) is known as the definition of mild solutions to the stochastic differential equation

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = AX_t\mathrm{d}t + F_t(X_t)\mathrm{d}t + \sigma_t\mathrm{d}W_{S(t)} + \tilde{\sigma}_t\mathrm{d}L_t.$$

We first confirm the existence and uniqueness of the solution (3.2). By **(A1)**, the operator $-A$ has discrete spectrum with eigenvalues $0 \le \rho_1 \le \rho_2 \le \cdots \le \rho_n \uparrow \infty$. From now on, we let $\{e_i\}_{i\ge1}$ be the corresponding eigenbasis, i.e. an orthonormal basis of H such that $Ae_i = -\rho_i e_i, i \ge 1$.

Proposition 3.1. *Assume* **(A1)**-**(A3)**. *Then*

(1) *Let $B^k := \langle W, e_k\rangle$ as in (3.1). Then*

$$Y_t := \int_0^t \mathrm{e}^{(t-s)A}\sigma_s\mathrm{d}W_{S(s)} = \sum_{k,j=1}^{\infty}\bigg(\int_0^t \langle \mathrm{e}^{(t-s)A}\sigma_s e_k, e_j\rangle \mathrm{d}B^k_{S(s)}\bigg)e_j, \quad t \ge 0,$$

gives rise to a stochastically continuous process on H such that $\mathbb{E}^S\int_0^T |Y_t|^2\mathrm{d}t < \infty$ for $T > 0$, where $\mathbb{E}^S$ is the conditional expectation given S. In particular, Y has a measurable modification.

(2) *Fix a measurable modification of $Y := (Y_t)_{t\ge0}$, and denote it again by*

$$Y_t = \int_0^t \mathrm{e}^{(t-s)A}\sigma_s\mathrm{d}W_{S(s)}, \quad t \ge 0.$$

For any $X_0 \in H$, the equation (3.2) *has a unique solution.*

Proof. (1) We first prove

$$U_t := \sum_{k,j=1}^{\infty}\int_0^t \langle \mathrm{e}^{A(t-s)}\sigma_s e_k, e_j\rangle^2 \mathrm{d}S(s) < \infty \text{ a.s.}, \quad t \ge 0, \tag{3.5}$$

and $\int_0^T U_t\mathrm{d}t < \infty$ for any $T > 0$. Note that for each $t \ge 0$, $U_t < \infty$ a.s. implies that Y_t is a well defined H-valued random variable with $\mathbb{E}^S|Y_t|^2 = U_t < \infty$ (see e.g. [21, Theorem 88(v) on page 53]), and $\int_0^T U_t\mathrm{d}t < \infty$ implies $\mathbb{E}^S\int_0^T |Y_t|^2\mathrm{d}t < \infty$.

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} U_t &= \int_0^t \|\mathrm{e}^{A(t-s)}\sigma_s\|_{HS}^2\mathrm{d}S(s) \\ &\le \Big(\sup_{s\in[0,t]}\|\sigma_s\|^2\Big)\int_0^t \|\mathrm{e}^{A(t-s)}\|_{HS}^2\mathrm{d}S(s) =: C_t\gamma_t, \quad t \ge 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

It then follows from (3.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T U_t\mathrm{d}t \le C_T\int_0^T \gamma_t\mathrm{d}t &= C_T\int_0^T \mathrm{d}S(s)\int_s^T \|\mathrm{e}^{(t-s)A}\|_{HS}^2\mathrm{d}t \\ &\le C_T S(T)\int_0^T \|\mathrm{e}^{tA}\|_{HS}^2\mathrm{d}t < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

So, $Y_\cdot \in L^2([0,T] \to H; \mathrm{d}t)$ and $U_t < \infty$ a.s. for a.e.-$t \geq 0$. It remains to show that $U_t < \infty$ a.s. for all $t \geq 0$, so that Y_t is an H-valued random variable for each $t \geq 0$. For any $t > 0$, there exists $t' \in (0,t)$ such that $\gamma_{t'}, \gamma_{t-t'} < \infty$ a.s. Since $S = S(\cdot + t') - S(t')$ in law, $\int_{t'}^t \|\mathrm{e}^{A(t-s)}\|_{HS}^2 \mathrm{d}S(s) = \gamma_{t-t'} := \int_0^{t-t'} \|\mathrm{e}^{A(t-t'-s)}\|_{HS}^2 \mathrm{d}S(s)$ in law as well. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_t &= \int_0^{t'} \|\mathrm{e}^{A(t-s)}\|_{HS}^2 \mathrm{d}S(s) + \int_{t'}^t \|\mathrm{e}^{A(t-s)}\|_{HS}^2 \mathrm{d}S(s)\\ &= \gamma_{t'} + \int_{t'}^t \|\mathrm{e}^{A(t-s)}\|_{HS}^2 \mathrm{d}S(s) < \infty, \text{ a.s.}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (3.6) we have $U_t < \infty$ a.s. for all $t \geq 0$.

To prove the stochastic continuity of Y, we note that for any $t \geq 0$ and $h > 0$, we have

$$|Y_{t+h} - Y_t| \leq \|\mathrm{e}^{hA} - \mathbf{1}\| \cdot |Y_t| + |I(h)|,$$

where $\mathbf{1}$ is the identity operator and

$$I(h) := \int_t^{t+h} \mathrm{e}^{(t+h-s)A} \sigma_s \mathrm{d}W_{S(s)}.$$

Note that $C_t := \sup_{s\in[0,t]} \|\sigma_s\|^2 < \infty$. We have, for $h \in (0,1)$,

$$\mathbb{E}^S |I(h)|^2 \leq C_{t+1} \int_{t-h}^t \|\mathrm{e}^{(t-s)A}\|_{HS}^2 \mathrm{d}S(s+h)$$

which in law equals to $C_{t+1} \int_{t-h}^t \|\mathrm{e}^{(t-s)A}\|_{HS}^2 \mathrm{d}S(s)$. Since $U_t < \infty$ a.s. and $S(t) = S(t-)$ a.s. for fixed t, we conclude that $I(h) \to 0$ in probability as $h \to 0$. Therefore, for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\limsup_{h\downarrow 0} \mathbb{P}(|Y_{t+h} - Y_t| \geq \varepsilon) \leq \limsup_{h\downarrow 0} \Big\{\mathbb{P}\Big(\|\mathrm{e}^{hA} - \mathbf{1}\| \cdot |Y_t| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\Big) + \mathbb{P}\Big(|I(h)| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\Big)\Big\} = 0.$$

Similarly, we can prove $\lim_{s\uparrow t} \mathbb{P}(|Y_t - Y_s| \geq \varepsilon) = 0$ for any $t, \varepsilon > 0$. Due to the stochastic continuity, the process Y has a measurable modification (see [1, Theorem 3]).

(2) Once a measurable modification of Y is fixed, as explained in Section 1, we let $\tilde{X}_t = X_t - Y_t - V_t$ and reformulate (3.2) as

$$\tilde{X}_t = \mathrm{e}^{At} X_0 + \int_0^t \mathrm{e}^{A(t-s)} F_s(\tilde{X}_s + Y_s + V_s) \mathrm{d}s, \quad t \geq 0,$$

which has a unique solution due to **(A2)**. □

We note that in the proof of Proposition 3.1, for different measurable modifications of Y, the corresponding solutions derived for the equation (3.2) are equivalent, i.e. they are modifications each other as well. When $V = 0$ and σ_s is independent of s with $\sigma e_i = \beta_i e_i$ holding for some sequence $\{\beta_i\} \subset \mathbb{R}$, solutions to (3.2) have been investigated in [16].

By Proposition 3.1, we define

$$P_t f(x) = \mathbb{E} f(X_t(x)), \quad t \geq 0, x \in H, f \in \mathscr{B}_b(H),$$

where $X(x)$ is the solution to (3.2) for $X_0 = x$. We shall make use of finite-dimensional approximations to derive the Harnack inequalities from Theorem 1.1. Note that P_t is independent of modifications of Y, and is thus unique due to Proposition 3.1.

For $n \geq 1$, let $H_n = \text{span}\{e_1, \cdots, e_n\}$, and let π_n be the orthogonal projection from H onto H_n. Let

$$A^{(n)} = \pi_n A,\ F^{(n)} = \pi_n F,\ \sigma^{(n)} = \pi_n \sigma,\ W^{(n)} = \pi_n W,\ V^{(n)} = \pi_n V.$$

For any $n \geq 1$, consider the following equation on H_n:

$$X_t^{(n)} = \pi_n X_0 + \int_0^t \{A^{(n)} X_s^{(n)} + F_s^{(n)}(X_s^{(n)})\} \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \sigma_s^{(n)} \mathrm{d}W_{S(s)}^{(n)} + V_t^{(n)}, \quad t \geq 0. \tag{3.7}$$

Let $P_t^{(n)}$ be the associated Markov operator. It is easy to see that assertions in Theorem 1.1 hold for $P_T^{(n)}$. Letting $n \to \infty$, we conclude that assertions in Theorem 1.1, and hence in Corollary 1.2, hold for the present P_T.

Theorem 3.2. *Assume* **(A1)**-**(A3)**. *Then assertions in Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2 hold for H in place of $\mathbb{R}^d$.*

Proof. As explained above we only consider positive $f \in C_b(H)$. In this case, by the assertions for $P_T^{(n)}$ and the dominated convergence theorem, it suffices to prove that $\lim_{n\to\infty} X_T^{(n)} = X_T$ in law. This follows from

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}^{S,V} |X_t^{(n)} - X_t| = 0, \quad t > 0, \tag{3.8}$$

where $\mathbb{E}^{S,V}$ is the conditional expectation given S and V. Noting that

$$X_t^{(n)} = \mathrm{e}^{tA^{(n)}} X_0 + \int_0^t \mathrm{e}^{(t-s)A^{(n)}} F_s(X_s^{(n)}) \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \mathrm{e}^{(t-s)A^{(n)}} \sigma_s \mathrm{d}W_{S(s)}^{(n)} + V_t^{(n)},$$

we obtain from **(A1)** and **(A2)** that

$$\begin{aligned} |X_t^{(n)} - X_t| \leq & |X_0 - \pi_n X_0| + \int_0^t K_s |X_s^{(n)} - X_s| \mathrm{d}s \\ & + \int_0^t |F_s(X_s) - F_s^{(n)}(X_s)| \mathrm{d}s + \Big| \int_0^t \mathrm{e}^{(t-s)A} | \sigma_s \mathrm{d}\{W_{S(s)}^{(n)} - W_{S(s)}\} \Big| \\ & + \Big| \int_0^t \{\mathrm{e}^{(t-s)A} - \mathrm{e}^{(t-s)A^{(n)}}\} \sigma_s \mathrm{d}W_{S(s)}^{(n)} \Big| + \big| V_t^n - V_t \big|. \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Note that $F^{(n)} \to F$ as $n \to \infty$, and

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}^S \Big| \int_0^t \mathrm{e}^{(t-s)A} | \sigma_s \mathrm{d}\{W_{S(s)}^{(n)} - W_{S(s)}\} \Big|^2 + \mathbb{E}^S \Big| \int_0^t \{\mathrm{e}^{(t-s)A} - \mathrm{e}^{(t-s)A^{(n)}}\} \sigma_s \mathrm{d}W_{S(s)}^{(n)} \Big|^2 \\ & \leq 2 \Big(\sum_{k>n} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j\geq n} \Big) \int_0^t \langle \mathrm{e}^{A(t-s)} \sigma_s e_k, e_j \rangle^2 \mathrm{d}S(s) \end{aligned}$$

which goes to zero as $n \to \infty$ since $U_t := \int_0^t \|e^{A(t-s)}\sigma_s\|_{HS}^2 ds < \infty$. Moreover, it is easy to see that

$$\sup_{n\ge 1} \mathbb{E}^{S,V}|X_t^{(n)}|^2 + \int_0^t \Big(\sup_{n\ge 1} \mathbb{E}^{S,V}|X_s^{(n)}|^2\Big) ds < \infty.$$

Then letting $n \to \infty$ in (3.9) and using the Fatou lemma and the fact that $V_t^{(n)} \to V_t$ as $n \to \infty$, we obtain

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}^{S,V}|X_t^{(n)} - X_t| \le \int_0^t K_s \limsup_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}^{S,V}|X_s^{(n)} - X_s| ds, \quad t \ge 0.$$

This implies (3.8) and hence, completes the proof. □

References

[1] W. Ambrose, *On measurable stochastic processes,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 47(1940), 66–79.

[2] D. Applebaum: *Lévy Processes and Stochastic Calculus,* Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2004.

[3] M. Arnaudon, A. Thalmaier, F.-Y. Wang, *Harnack inequality and heat kernel estimates on manifolds with curvature unbounded below,* Bull. Sci. Math. 130(2006), 223–233.

[4] M. Arnaudon, A. Thalmaier, F.-Y. Wang, *Gradient estimates and Harnack inequalities on non-compact Riemannian manifolds,* Stoch. Proc. Appl. 119(2009), 3653–3670.

[5] M. Arnaudon, A. Thalmaier, F.-Y. Wang, *Equivalent Harnack and gradient inequalities for pointwise curvature lower bound,* arXiv:1209.6161.

[6] B. Böttcher, R.L. Schilling, J. Wang, *Constructions of coupling processes for Lévy processes,* Stoch. Proc. Appl. 121(2011), 1201–1216.

[7] G. Da Prato, M. Röckner, F.-Y. Wang, *Singular stochastic equations on Hilbert spaces: Harnack inequalities for their transition semigroups,* J. Funct. Anal. 257(2009), 992–1017.

[8] A. Es-Sarhir, M.-K. v. Renesse, M. Scheutzow, *Harnack inequality for functional SDEs with bounded memory,* Electron. Commun. Probab. 14(2009), 560–565.

[9] M. Gordina, M. Röckner, F.-Y. Wang, *Dimension-free Harnack inequalities for subordinated semigroups,* Pot. Anal. 34(2011), 293–307.

[10] A. Guillin, F.-Y. Wang, *Degenerate Fokker-Planck equations : Bismut formula, gradient estimate and Harnack inequality*, J. Diff. Equat. 253(2012), 20–40.

[11] N. Jacob, *Pseudo Differential Operators and Markov Processes (Volume I),* Imperial College Press, London, 2001.

[12] W. Liu, *Harnack inequality and applications for stochastic evolution equations with monotone drifts,* J. Evol. Equ. 9(2009), 747–770.

[13] W. Liu, F.-Y. Wang, *Harnack inequality and strong Feller property for stochastic fast diffusion equations,* J. Math. Anal. Appl. 342(2008), 651–662.

[14] S.-X. Ouyang, *Harnack inequalities and applications for multivalued stochastic evolution equations,* Inf. Dimen. Anal. Quant. Probab. Relat. Topics. 14(2011), 261–278.

[15] S.-X. Ouyang, M. Röckner, F.-Y. Wang, *Harnack inequalities and applications for Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroups with jump,* Potential Anal. 36(2012), 301–315.

[16] E. Priola, J. Zabczyk, *Structural properties of semilinear SPDEs driven by cylindrical stable processes,* Probab. Theory Relat. Fields 149(2011), 97–137.

[17] M. Röckner, F.-Y. Wang, *Log-Harnack inequality for stochastic differential equations in Hilbert spaces and its consequences,* Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quant. Probab. Relat. Topics 13(2010), 27–37.

[18] R. L. Schilling, J. Wang, *On the coupling property of Lévy processes,* Inst. Henri Poinc. Probab. Stat. 47(2011), 1147–1159.

[19] R. L. Schilling, J. Wang, *On the coupling property and the Liouville theorem for Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes* J. Evol. Equ. 12(2012), 119–140.

[20] R. L. Schilling, P. Sztonyk, J. Wang, *Coupling property and gradient estimates of Lévy processes via the symbol,* Bernoulli 18(2012), 1128–1149.

[21] R. Situ, *Theory of Stochastic Differential Equations with Jumps and Applications,* Springer, 2005.

[22] F.-Y. Wang, *Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities on noncompact Riemannian manifolds,* Probab. Theory Relat. Fields 109(1997), 417–424.

[23] F.-Y. Wang, *Harnack inequality and applications for stochastic generalized porous media equations,* Ann. Probab. 35(2007), 1333–1350.

[24] F.-Y. Wang, *Harnack inequalities on manifolds with boundary and applications,* J. Math. Pures Appl. 94(2010), 304–321.

[25] F.-Y. Wang, *Harnack inequality for SDE with multiplicative noise and extension to Neumann semigroup on non-convex manifolds,* Ann. Probab. 39(2011), 1447–1467.

[26] F.-Y. Wang, *Gradient estimate for Ornstein-Uhlenbeck jump processes*, Stoch. Proc. Appl. 121(2011), 466–478.

[27] F.-Y. Wang, *Coupling for Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes with jumps,* Bernoulli 17(2011), 1136–1158.

[28] F.-Y. Wang, *Derivative formula and Harnack inequality for jump processes,* arXiv:1104.5531.

[29] F.-Y. Wang, J.-L. Wu and L. Xu, *Log-Harnack inequality for stochastic Burgers equations and applications*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 384(2011), 151–159.

[30] F.-Y. Wang, C. Yuan, *Harnack inequalities for functional SDEs with multiplicative noise and applications,* Stoch. Proc. Appl. 121(2011), 2692–2710.

[31] F.-Y. Wang, L. Xu, *Derivative formula and applications for hyperdissipative stochastic Navier-Stokes/Burgers equations,* to appear in Inf. Dim. Quant. Probab. Relat. Topics; see also arXiv:1009.1464.

[32] F.-Y. Wang, L. Xu, *Log-Harnack inequality for Gruschin type semigroups,* to appear in Rev. Matem. Iberoamericana.

[33] S.-Q. Zhang, *Harnack inequality for semilinear SPDE with multiplicative noise,* arXiv:1208.2343.

[34] T. Zhang, *White noise driven SPDEs with reflection: strong Feller properties and Harnack inequalities,* Potential Anal. 33(2010), 137–151.

[35] J. Wang, *Harnack inequalities for Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes driven by Lévy processes,* Stat. Probab. Letters 81(2011), 1436–1444.

[36] J. Wang, *On the existence and explicit estimates for the coupling property of Lévy processes,* to appear in J. Theor. Probab.

[37] X. C. Zhang, *Derivative formula and gradient estimates for SDEs driven by α-stable processes,* arXiv:1204.2630